arXiv:1407.6517v1 [math.GN] 24 Jul 2014

On weakly Gibson F_σ-measurable mappings

Olena Karlova
Chernivtsi National University,
Department of Mathematical Analysis,
58012 Chernivtsi, Ukraine
E-mail: maslenizza.ua@gmail.com

Volodymyr Mykhaylyuk
Chernivtsi National University,
Department of Mathematical Analysis,
58012 Chernivtsi, Ukraine
E-mail: vmykhaylyuk@ukr.net

Abstract

A function $f : X \to Y$ between topological spaces is said to be a *weakly Gibson function* if $f(\overline{U}) \subseteq \overline{f(U)}$ for any open connected set $U \subseteq X$. We prove that if X is a locally connected hereditarily Baire space and Y is a T_1-space then an F_σ-measurable mapping $f : X \to Y$ is weakly Gibson if and only if for any connected set $C \subseteq X$ with the dense connected interior the image $f(C)$ is connected. Moreover, we show that each weakly Gibson F_σ-measurable mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to Y$, where Y is a T_1-space, has a connected graph.

1 Introduction

The classical theorem of Kuratowski and Sierpiński [8] states that any Darboux Baire-one function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ has a connected graph.

In 2010 K. Kellum [6] introduced Gibson and weak Gibson properties for a mapping f between topological spaces X and Y. He calls f (weakly) Gibson if $f(\overline{U}) \subseteq \overline{f(U)}$ for an arbitrary open (and connected) set $U \subseteq$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 26B05, 54C08; Secondary 26A21.
Key words and phrases: weakly Gibson function, F_σ-measurable function, connected graph.

X. Since every Darboux function has the weak Gibson property [5], it is naturally to ask whether the theorem of Kuratiwski–Sierpiński remains valid if we replace the Darboux property by the weak Gibson property? It was shown in [5] that any weakly Gibson barely continuous mapping (in the sense that for each non-empty closed subspace $F \subseteq X$ the restriction $f|_F$ has a continuity point) defined on a connected and locally connected space X and with values in a topological space Y has a connected graph. It is find out that the condition of barely continuity in the above mentioned result from [5] is not necessary (see Example 4.4).

In this paper we consider weakly Gibson mappings $f : X \to Y$ which are F_σ-measurable, i.e. the preimage $f^{-1}(V)$ of an open set $V \subseteq Y$ is an F_σ-set in X. Note that in the case when Y is a perfectly normal space, every Baire-one mapping $f : X \to Y$ is F_σ-measurable (see for instance [7, p. 394]). In Section 2 we introduce the notions of $\mathcal{G}$-closed and $\mathcal{W}$-closed sets and prove that the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ cannot be written as a union of two non-empty disjoint F_σ and G_δ $\mathcal{W}$-closed subsets as well as a connected and locally connected hereditarily Baire space cannot be written as a union of two non-empty disjoint F_σ and G_δ $\mathcal{G}$-closed subsets. Using these facts in Section 3 we prove that each F_σ-measurable mapping f between a locally connected hereditarily Baire space X and a T_1-space Y is weakly Gibson if and only if for any connected set $C \subseteq X$ with the dense connected interior the image $f(C)$ is connected. This generalizes the result of M. Evans and P. Humke [3] who proved the similar theorem for $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Y = \mathbb{R}$. We prove also that each weakly Gibson F_σ-measurable mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to Y$, where Y is a T_1-space, has a connected graph.

2 $\mathcal{A}$-closed sets and their properties

Let X be a topological space and let

- $\mathcal{T}(X)$ be the system of all open subsets of X,
- $\mathcal{C}(X)$ be the system of all connected subsets of X,
- $\mathcal{G}(X)$ be the system of all connected open subsets of X,
- $\mathcal{W}(X)$ be the system of all open convex subsets of a topological vector space X.

Let $\mathcal{A}(X)$ be a system of subsets of X. A subset $E \subseteq X$ is called *closed with respect to* $\mathcal{A}(X)$ or, briefly, $\mathcal{A}$-*closed* if for any $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ with $A \subseteq E$

we have $\overline{A} \subseteq E$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a connected and locally connected space and U be an open $\mathcal{G}$-closed subset of X. Then $U = \emptyset$ or $U = X$.*

Proof. Consider a component C of U. The locally connectedness of U implies that C is clopen in U, consequently, C is open in X. Since U is $\mathcal{G}$-closed, $\overline{C} \subseteq U$. Therefore, $\overline{C} = C$ provided C is a component. Hence, C is clopen in a connected space X. Therefore, $C = \emptyset$ or $C = X$. Since U is a union of all components, $U = \emptyset$ or $U = X$. □

We need the following auxiliary fact.

Lemma 2.2. [7, p. 136] *Let A and B be subsets of a topological space X such that A is connected and $A \cap B \neq \emptyset \neq A \setminus B$. Then $A \cap \mathrm{frB} \neq \emptyset$.*

For a point x_0 of a normed space X and for $\varepsilon > 0$ by $B(x_0, \varepsilon)$ /$B[x_0, \varepsilon]$/ we denote an open /closed/ ball with the center at x_0 and radius ε.

If a subset of a topological space is simultaneously F_σ and G_δ, then it is said to be *ambiguous*.

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a hereditarily Baire space, X_1 and X_2 are ambiguous disjoint $\mathcal{A}$-closed subsets of X such that $X = X_1 \cup X_2$. If*

1. *X is a connected and locally connected space and $\mathcal{A}(X) = \mathcal{G}(X)$, or*
2. *$X = \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, and $\mathcal{A}(X) = \mathcal{W}(X)$,*

then $X_1 = X$ or $X_2 = X$.

Proof. To obtain a contradiction, suppose that $X_1 \neq X$ and $X_2 \neq X$. Let $F = \overline{X}_1 \cap \overline{X}_2$. Since X is connected, $F \neq \emptyset$. We show that $X_1 \cap F$ is dense in F. Conversely, choose a point $x_0 \in F$ and an open neighborhood U of x_0 in X such that

$$U \cap F \subseteq X_2.$$

Then $x_0 \in \overline{X}_1 \cap X_2$.

1). Since X is locally connected, we may assume that U is connected. Note that $U \cap X_1 \neq \emptyset$ and take $a \in U \cap X_1$. Then $a \notin \overline{X}_2$. Let G be a component of $X \setminus \overline{X}_2$ which contains a. Then G is open in X. Remark that $U \cap G \neq \emptyset \neq U \setminus G$. Lemma 2.2 implies that $U \cap \mathrm{fr}G \neq \emptyset$. Since G is closed in $X \setminus \overline{X}_2$, $\mathrm{fr}G \subseteq \overline{X}_2$. Moreover, $G \subseteq X_1$. Therefore, $\mathrm{fr}G \subseteq F$. Choose $b \in U \cap \mathrm{fr}G$. Then $b \in X_2$. Since X_1 is $\mathcal{G}$-closed, $b \in \overline{G} \subseteq X_1$, which is impossible.

2). We may suppose that $U = B(x_0, \varepsilon)$. Take an arbitrary $a \in B(x_0, \varepsilon/2) \cap X_1$. Let

$$R = \sup\{r : B(a, r) \subseteq X_1\}.$$

Note that $R \leq \varepsilon/2$, since $x_0 \in X_2$. We have

$$d(x, x_0) \leq d(x, a) + d(a, x_0) < R + \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$$

for all $x \in B[a, R]$. Hence, $B[a, R] \subseteq U$. It is not hard to verify that $B[a, R] \cap \overline{X}_2 \neq \emptyset$, provided $B[a, R]$ is compact. Therefore, there is $b \in B[a, R] \cap \overline{X}_2$. Since $B(a, R)$ is open and convex and X_1 is $\mathcal{W}$-closed, $b \in X_1$. But $b \in U \cap F$, which implies that $b \in X_2$. Thus, $b \in X_1 \cap X_2$ which is impossible.

Hence, $X_1 \cap F$ is dense in F. It can be proved similarly that $X_2 \cap F$ is dense in F. Then $X_1 \cap F$ and $X_2 \cap F$ are disjoint dense G_δ-subsets of a Baire space F, which implies a contradiction. Therefore, $X_1 = X$ or $X_2 = X$. □

3 Applications of $\mathcal{A}$-closed sets

We say that a mapping $f : X \to Y$ has *a Gibson property with respect to a system* $\mathcal{A}(X)$, or f *is an* $\mathcal{A}$*-Gibson* if for any $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ we have

$$f(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}.$$

It $\mathcal{A}(X) = \mathcal{T}(X)$ then f is said to be *a Gibson mapping*, and if $\mathcal{A}(X) = \mathcal{G}(X)$ then f *is a weakly Gibson mapping* (see [6]).

A mapping $f : X \to Y$ is *strongly Gibson with respect to a system* $\mathcal{A}(X)$, or f *is strongly* $\mathcal{A}$*-Gibson* if for any $x \in X$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ such that $x \in \overline{A}$ we have

$$f(x) \in \overline{f(A \cap U)}$$

for an arbitrary neighborhood U of x in X.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a topological space, Y a T_1-space and let $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping such that for any connected set $C \subseteq X$ with the dense connected interior the set $f(C)$ is connected. Then f is a weakly Gibson mapping.*

If, moreover, X is a locally convex space then f has the strong Gibson property with respect to the system $\mathcal{W}(X)$.

Proof. Fix an arbitrary open connected set $U \subseteq X$, a point $x_0 \in \overline{U}$ and an open neighborhood V of $f(x_0)$ in Y. Denote $C = U \cup \{x_0\}$. Then the

inclusions $U \subseteq C \subseteq \overline{U}$ imply that $f(C)$ is a connected set. Assume $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$. Then

$$f(C) = f(U \cup \{x_0\}) = f(U) \cup \{f(x_0)\} \subseteq (Y \setminus V) \cup \{f(x_0)\},$$

which contradicts to the connectedness of $f(C)$.

Now let X be a locally convex space. Fix a set $G \in \mathcal{W}(X)$, a point $x_0 \in \overline{G}$, an open convex neighborhood W of x_0 in X and an open neighborhood V of $f(x_0)$ in Y. Denote $U = W \cap G$. Clearly, $U \in \mathcal{G}(X)$. The rest of the proof runs as before. □

The converse proposition is true for F_σ-measurable mappings defined on a locally connected hereditarily Baire space.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a locally connected hereditarily Baire space, Y a topological space and let $f : X \to Y$ be a weakly Gibson F_σ-measurable mapping. Then for any connected set $C \subseteq X$ with the dense connected interior the set $f(C)$ is connected.*

Proof. Let $C \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, $U = \operatorname{int} C$ and $C \subseteq \overline{U}$.

We first prove that $f(U)$ is a connected set. Suppose, contrary to our claim, that $f(U) = W_1 \cup W_2$, where W_1 and W_2 are non-empty disjoint open subsets of $f(U)$. Set $g = f|_U$. Evidently, $g : U \to f(U)$ is a weakly Gibson F_σ-measurable mapping. Let $A_i = g^{-1}(W_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then every set A_i is $\mathcal{G}$-closed in U, provided g is weakly Gibson. Moreover, every A_i is ambiguous set in U, $U = A_1 \cup A_2$ and $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$. Taking into account that U is a hereditarily Baire connected and locally connected space, we obtain that $A_1 = U$ or $A_2 = U$ according to Theorem 2.3(1). Then $W_1 = \emptyset$ or $W_2 = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Therefore, $f(U)$ is a connected set.

Since f is weakly Gibson, $f(U) \subseteq f(C) \subseteq f(\overline{U}) \subseteq \overline{f(U)}$. Consequently, the set $f(C)$ is connected. □

For a mapping $f : X \to Y$ we define $\gamma_f : X \to X \times Y$,

$$\gamma_f(x) = (x, f(x)).$$

Remark that if X is a connected and locally connected hereditarily Baire space and γ_f is an F_σ-measurable weakly Gibson mapping then Theorem 3.2 implies that f has a connected graph Γ, provided $\Gamma = \gamma_f(X)$. It is not hard to prove that γ_f remains to be weakly Gibson for any weakly Gibson mapping $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. But Example 4.2 shows that γ_f need not be weakly Gibson for a weakly Gibson F_σ-measurable mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 1$ and let Y be a T_1-space. If $f : X \to Y$ is a weakly Gibson F_σ-measurable mapping then f has a connected graph.*

Proof. We first observe that by Theorem 3.2 for any $U \in \mathcal{G}(X)$ and for any C with $U \subseteq C \subseteq \overline{U}$ the set $f(C)$ is connected. Then f has the strong Gibson property with respect to the system $\mathcal{W}(X)$ according to Theorem 3.1. It is easy to see that γ_f is also $\mathcal{W}$-strongly Gibson.

We show that $\gamma_f : X \to X \times Y$ is F_σ-measurable. Let $\{B_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a base of open sets in X and W be an arbitrary open set in $X \times Y$. Put

$$V_k = \bigcup \{V : V \text{ is open in } Y \text{ and } B_k \times V \subseteq W\}.$$

Then $W = \bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} (B_k \times V_k)$. Since $\gamma_f^{-1}(W) = \bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} (B_k \cap f^{-1}(V_k))$, $\gamma_f^{-1}(W)$ is an F_σ-subset of X.

Now assume that $Y_0 = \gamma_f(X)$ is not connected and choose open disjoint non-empty subsets W_1 and W_2 of Y_0 such that $Y_0 = W_1 \cup W_2$. Let $X_i = \gamma_f^{-1}(W_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. It is easy to check that X_1 and X_2 are $\mathcal{W}$-closed ambiguous subsets of X. Moreover, $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$ and $X = X_1 \cup X_2$. Then $X_1 = X$ or $X_2 = X$ by Theorem 2.3 (2). Consequently, $W_1 = \emptyset$ or $W_2 = \emptyset$, a contradiction. □

The following question is open.

Question 3.4. *Let X be a normed space, Y a T_1-space and let $f : X \to Y$ be a weakly Gibson F_σ-measurable mapping. Is the graph of f a connected set?*

4 Examples

Our first example shows that the class of all F_σ-measurable Darboux mappings is strictly wider than the class of all Baire-one Darboux mappings.

Example 4.1. *There exist a connected subset $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and an F_σ-measurable Darboux function $f : \mathbb{R} \to Y$ which is not a Baire-one function.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{Q} = \{r_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the set of all rational numbers. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the function $\varphi_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\varphi_n(x) = \begin{cases} \sin \frac{1}{x - r_n}, & x \neq r_n, \\ 0, & x = r_n. \end{cases}$$

Define the function $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi_n(x).$$

Let

$$Y = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y = g(x)\} \quad \text{and} \quad f = \gamma_g.$$

Observe that for every n the function $g_n(x) = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2^k} \varphi_k(x)$ is a Baire-one Darboux function. Since the sequence $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly convergent to g on $\mathbb{R}$, g is a Baire-one Darboux function [1, Theorem 3.4]. Consequently, the graph of $g|_C$ is connected for every connected subset $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ according to [1, Theorem 1.1]. Therefore, $f : \mathbb{R} \to Y$ is a Darboux function. Moreover, $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is a Baire-one mapping, which implies that $f : \mathbb{R} \to Y$ is F_σ-measurable.

Note that the space Y is punctiform (i.e., Y does not contain any continuum of cardinality larger than one), since g is discontinuous on everywhere dense set $\mathbb{Q}$ (see [8]). Then each continuous mapping between $\mathbb{R}$ and Y is constant. Therefore, $f : \mathbb{R} \to Y$ is not a Baire-one mapping. □

Example 4.2. *For all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ define*

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \sin \frac{1}{x}, & x > 0, \\ 1, & x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is an F_σ-measurable weakly Gibson function, but γ_f is not weakly Gibson.

Proof. Show that f is weakly Gibson. It is sufficient to check that f is weakly Gibson at each point of the set $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$. Fix $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and an open connected set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $p_0 = (0, y_0) \in \overline{U} \setminus U$. Take an arbitrary neighborhood V of $f(p_0)$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Clearly, $f(p) \in V$ for all $p \in U \cap ((-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R})$. Consider the case $U \subseteq (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$. Since $p_0 \in \overline{U}$ and U is connected, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U \cap (\{\frac{1}{\pi/2+2\pi n}\} \times \mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p = (\frac{1}{\pi/2+2\pi n}, y) \in U$. Then $f(p) = 1$ and $f(p) \in V$. Hence, f is weakly Gibson.

Consider open connected set $U = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x > 0 \text{ and } |y - \sin \frac{1}{x}| < x\}$ and let $C = U \cup \{(0, 0)\}$. Then $U \subseteq C \subseteq \overline{U}$. Note that $\gamma_f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is F_σ-measurable. One easily checks that $\gamma_f(C)$ is not connected. Therefore, γ_f is not weakly Gibson by Theorem 3.2. □

Finally, we give an example of a space Y and an F_σ-measurable Darboux mapping $f : \mathbb{R} \to Y$ which is not barely continuous.

We need first some definitions and auxiliary facts. For a topological space Y by $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ we denote the space of all non-empty closed subsets of Y equipped with the Vietoris topology. A multivalued mapping $F : X \to Y$ is said to be *upper (lower) continuous at* $x_0 \in X$ if for any open set V in Y such that $F(x_0) \subseteq V$ $(F(x_0) \cap V \neq \emptyset)$ there exists a neighborhood U of x_0 in X such that for every $x \in U$ we have $F(x) \subseteq V$ $(F(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset)$. A multivalued mapping f which is upper and lower continuous at x_0 is called *continuous at* x_0.

Lemma 4.3. *There exists a continuous mapping $f_0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ such that for all $x \in [0,1]$ and $p \in P = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$ there are $n_p \in \mathbb{N}$, strictly increasing unbounded sequence $(v_n)_{n \geq n_p}$ of reals $v_n > 0$ and strictly decreasing unbounded sequence $(u_n)_{n \geq n_p}$ of reals $u_n < 0$ such that*

$$f_0(u_n) = f_0(v_n) = \{p\} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} [k, k+x] \cup \bigcup_{k>n} \{k\}$$

for all $n \geq n_p$.

Proof. Let $P = \{p_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Choose a continuous function $\varphi_0 : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ with $\varphi_0(x) = p_k$ if $|x| \in [n + \frac{2k-1}{2n}, n + \frac{k}{n}]$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define a continuous function $\varphi_n : \mathbb{R} \to [n, n+1]$,

$$\varphi_n(x) = \begin{cases} n, & |x| \leq n, \\ n + \sin(4\pi k|x|), & |x| \in (k, k+1], k \geq n. \end{cases}$$

Let $f_0(x) = \{\varphi_0(x)\} \cup \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} [n, \varphi_n(x)]$. Since all the functions φ_n are continuous and $\varphi_k(x) = k$ for $x \in [-n, n]$ and $k \geq n$, f_0 is continuous.

Fix $p = p_n \in P$ and $x \in [0,1]$. Denote $n_p = n$. For all $k \geq n$ choose $v_k \in [k + \frac{2n-1}{2k}, k + \frac{n}{k}]$ such that $\sin(4\pi k v_k) = x$. Then for every $k \geq n$ we have $\varphi_0(v_k) = p$, $\varphi_1(v_k) = 1 + x, \dots, \varphi_k(v_k) = k + x$ and $\varphi_i(v_k) = i$ for $i > k$, i.e., the sequence $(v_k)_{k \geq n}$ satisfies the condition of the lemma. It remains to set $u_k = -v_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Example 4.4. *There exists a Baire-one F_σ-measurable Darboux mapping $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ such that the restriction $f|_C$ of f on the Cantor set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is everywhere discontinuous and $f(\mathbb{R})$ is hereditarily Lindelöf (in particular, $f(\mathbb{R})$ is perfectly normal).*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{R} \setminus C = \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$, where $I_n = (a_n, b_n)$. Set $A = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $B = C \setminus A$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we choose a homeomorphism $\psi_n : I_n \to \mathbb{R}$.

Define

$$f(x)=\begin{cases} f_0(\psi_n(x)), & x\in I_n,\\ \{\frac{1}{n}\}\cup\bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty}[k,k+x], & n\in\mathbb{N}, x=a_n,\\ \{0\}\cup\bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty}[k,k+x], & x\in B,\end{cases}$$

where f_0 is the function from Lemma 4.3.

Show that f is a Baire-one mapping. For every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ applying Lemma 4.3, we find a number m_n, strictly increasing sequence $(v_k^{(n)})_{k\geq m_n}$ of $v_k^{(n)}\in(\frac{a_n+b_n}{2},b_n)$ and strictly decreasing sequence $(u_k^{(n)})_{k\geq m_n}$ of $u_k^{(n)}\in(\frac{a_n,a_n+b_n}{2})$ such that

$$f_0(\psi_n(u_k^{(n)}))=\{\frac{1}{n}\}\cup\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}[i,i+a_n]\cup\bigcup_{i>k}\{i\}$$

and

$$f_0(\psi_n(v_k^{(n)}))=\{0\}\cup\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}[i,i+a_n]\cup\bigcup_{i>k}\{i\}$$

for all $i\geq m_n$.

For every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ denote $M_n=\{k\leq n: m_k\leq n\}$. Clearly, $M_n\subseteq M_{n+1}$ for all n and $\mathbb{N}=\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}M_n$. Choose a sequence of continuous functions $g_n:\mathbb{R}\to[0,1]$ which is pointwise convergent to the function

$$g(x)=\begin{cases}0, & x\in\mathbb{R}\setminus A,\\ \frac{1}{n}, & n\in\mathbb{N}, x=a_n.\end{cases}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $g_n(u_k^{(n)})=\frac{1}{n}$ and $g_n(v_k^{(n)})=0$ if $n\in M_k$. Now for every $k\in\mathbb{N}$ define

$$f_k(x)=\begin{cases} f_0(\psi_n(x)), & x\in[u_k^{(n)},v_k^{(n)}],\ n\in M_k,\\ \{g_k(x)\}\cup\bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{k}[i,i+x]\cup\bigcup\limits_{i>k}\{i\}, & x\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\left(\bigcup\limits_{n\in M_k}[u_k^{(n)},v_k^{(n)}]\right).\end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that each f_k is continuous and $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}f_k(x)=f(x)$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}$.

We now prove that f has the Darboux property. Let $I\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ be a connected set of cardinality larger than one. If $I\subseteq I_n$ for some $n\in\mathbb{N}$ then $f(I)$ is connected, provided the restriction $f|_{I_n}$ is continuous. Suppose $I\not\subseteq I_n$ for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Let $M=\{n\in\mathbb{N}: J_n=I_n\cap I\neq\emptyset\}$. Note that the set $G=\bigcup\limits_{n\in M}J_n$ is dense in I. Set $f(I)=U\cup V$, where U and V are disjoint clopen sets in $f(\mathbb{R})$. Denote $K=\{n\in M: f(J_n)\subseteq U\}$ and $L=\{n\in M: f(J_n)\subseteq V\}$. Since the restriction of f on each set J_n is

continuous, $G = G_1 \cup G_2$, where $G_1 = \bigcup\limits_{n\in K} J_n$, $G_2 = \bigcup\limits_{n\in L} J_n$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$. Lemma 4.3 implies that $f(\overline{G_i}) \subseteq \overline{f(G_i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Hence, $f(\overline{G_1}) \subseteq U$ and $f(\overline{G_2}) \subseteq V$. Therefore, $I = \overline{G_1} \cup \overline{G_2}$ and $\overline{G_1} \cap \overline{G_2} = \emptyset$. Consequently, $G_1 = \emptyset$ or $G_2 = \emptyset$. Thus, $U = \emptyset$ or $V = \emptyset$.

To show that $Y = f(\mathbb{R})$ is hereditarily Lindelöf it is sufficient to prove that $Y_1 = f(\mathbb{R} \setminus C)$ and $Y_2 = f(C)$ are hereditarily Lindelöf. Note that $Y_1 = f_0(\mathbb{R})$ is hereditarily Lindelöf, since Y_1 is a continuous image of $\mathbb{R}$ under the continuous mapping f_0 with values in Hausdorff space $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. Since $f(a_n) \cap [0, 1] = \{\frac{1}{n}\}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f(b) \cap [0, 1] = \{0\}$ for each $b \in B$, the space $f(A)$ is countable discrete subspace of Y_2. Moreover, for each $b \in B$ the sets $f((b - \varepsilon, b] \cap C)$, where $\varepsilon > 0$, form a base of neighborhoods of $f(x_0)$ in Y_2. Since an arbitrary union of sets of the form $(u, v]$ is a union of a sequence $(u_n, v_n]$, Y_2 is hereditarily Lindelöf. Hence, X is hereditarily Lindelöf, consequently, X is perfectly normal.

Since Y is perfectly normal and f is a Baire-one function, f is F_σ-measurable [7, p. 394]. It remains to prove that the restriction $f|_C$ of f on the Cantor set C is everywhere discontinuous. Note that $f|_C$ is discontinuous everywhere on A, since $f(A)$ is discrete in Y_2. Moreover, for every $b \in B$ sets of the form $(b-\varepsilon, b]\cap C$ is not a neighborhood of b in C. Therefore, $f|_C$ is discontinuous at each point $b \in B$. □

References

[1] A. Bruckner, *Differentiation of Real Functions* [2nd ed.], Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1994, 195 p.

[2] R. Engelking, *General Topology*. Revised and completed edition. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin (1989).

[3] M. J. Evans and P. D. Humke, *Baire one, Gibson and weakly Gibson real functions of several real variables*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Ser. II, 59 (2010), 47–51.

[4] R. Gibson and T. Natkaniec, *Darboux-like functions*, Real Anal. Exchange 22(2) (1996-97), 492–533.

[5] O. Karlova and V. Mykhaylyuk, *On Gibson functions with connected graphs*, Math. Slovaca 63 (3) (2013).

[6] K. Kellum, *Functions that separate $X \times \mathbb{R}$*, Houston J. Math. 36 (2010), 1221–1226.

[7] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Volume 1, Moscow (1966) (in Russian).

[8] C. Kuratowski and W. Sierpinski, *Les fonctions de classe et les ensembles connexes ponctiformes*, Fund. Math. 3 (1922) 303–313.